

Option 3 looks like the best option at the moment, but I would like to see it tightened up and simplified, to stick closer to the supposed business model of a domain name registry.

Clause 3.5 can be deleted entirely, I think - running a registry implicitly requires the company to build, operate, maintain etc. a database. I see no reason why an implementation measure of a policy needs to be included as an explicit goal.

Similarly, why the peculiar phrase at the end of clause 3.6 "including but not limited to any area of business permitted by these objects"? This appears to be flexibility for flexibility's sake; get rid of it. It makes little sense for Nominet to get involved in research if it cannot use the end results.

Further clauses are inconsistently worded. Clause 3.9 explicitly mentions privacy, security etc. in areas relating to the objects of the Company; clauses 3.10 and 3.11 paint a much broader picture. These latter clauses should be reworded to limit consultancy and provision of standards to the company's core objects.

Sam

UK2.NET Senior Developer