

Tag Holder: DARTSOFT

Please find below my responses to the consultation questions....

Responses:

1. To provide a recognisable and easily remembered 'tag' for an individual or group's internet presence.
2. Yes, but on consultancy/advisory level rather than actual provision.
3. Yes - since I have tried to register .net.uk domains for other types network (e.g. community networks) and been refused - however I feel that the current .net.uk domains are rarely used since they provide no real benefit to whole internet community given the current restrictions.
4. 1 - No association
5. a) There should be a clear distinction between .net.uk registrants and other domains - duplication only causes more confusion.
b) There would be no point in unrestricted use.
6. I do not agree that ISP should be the determining role, so not relevant.
7. Network provider is any registered group providing services for it's members. Registered group could be company, charity or other unincorporated body - but NOT an individual. The group should have either registered number (as for .co.uk/.org.uk!) or at least a written constitution and membership criteria.
8. Yes, it should include all network providers (not just ISPs)
9. b. to allow wider use, but prevent simple duplication of .co.uk/.org.uk registrations.
10. Per 7 - Registered group could be company, charity or other unincorporated body - but NOT an individual. The group should have either registered number (as for .co.uk/.org.uk!) or at least a written constitution. Manual checking is likely to be required with some clear guidelines as present criteria.
11. Yes - network must at least include UK, if it is an international network.
12. Yes.
13. Yes, open registration would totally defeat it's purpose as there would be no distinction between it and existing TLD's (as currently happens with .co.uk & .org.uk)

=====

Kevin Chamberlain
Technical Director, Dartsoft Ltd