

Have your say!

Please give us your feedback on these proposals by answering the following questions:

What domains should we release?

This document contains respondents between 104 and 104 inclusive.

Do you agree that two letter domain names should be released for registration in co.uk, org.uk, net.uk and me.uk as recommended by the PAB?

- Yes
- No

Please give comments

Release whatever you like, there will always be a buyer : users want their .UK, not their .CO.UK ...

Do you agree that one character domain names should be released for registration in co.uk, org.uk, net.uk and me.uk as recommended by the PAB?

- Yes
- No

Please give comments

Release whatever you like, there will always be a buyer : users want their .UK, not their .CO.UK ...

Do you really think a domain name buyer knows what PAB mean ? do you think he cares ? He want his .UK

Do you agree that existing country codes under ISO 3166-1 such as fr, de, es, us etc be released for registration in co.uk, org.uk, net.uk and me.uk as recommended by the PAB?

- Yes
- No

Please give comments

it is total crap but there will be buyers and you want to earn more money so release them.

We outlined the technical reasons why com.co.uk, org.co.uk and net.co.uk should not be released. Do you agree with these exceptions?

- Yes
- No

Please give comments

Who cares about what you outline ? There is a market with an offer and domain names don't need demand. There will always be a speculator to register these domains.

Do you agree that existing generic top level domains (e.g. biz, info) should be released for registration in co.uk, org.uk, net.uk and me.uk as recommended by the PAB?

- Yes
- No

Please give comments

It will bring more confusion and more crap online.

Do you agree that existing .uk second level domains (e.g. co, org, ac, me, ltd) should also be released for registration in co.uk, org.uk, net.uk and me.uk?

- Yes
- No

Please give comments

It will bring more confusion and more crap online.

Do you agree that "uk" should continue to be reserved from registration in co.uk, org.uk, net.uk and me.uk as recommended by the PAB?

- Yes
- No

Comments

Give us .UK only.

We propose to take the same approach for two letter, one character and the other previously unreleased domains (e.g. pro.co.uk). Do you agree with this proposal?

- Yes
- No

Please give comments

Just make sure everyone can have access.

The PAB recommended a two stage release process: a first round for holders of registered trademarks, and a second round for names not taken by rights holders, open to holders of unregistered rights. Do you agree with this recommendation?

- Yes
- No

Please give comments

There is no other approach.

The PAB recommended a cut off date for qualifying rights for IP rights holders. They suggested 1996 and 2002 as possible dates. What do you think would be a suitable cut off date?

Whatever.

Please give comments

RELEASE .UK only.

We propose that initially commercial organisations should have priority in.co.uk and charities and not for profit organisations should have priority in org.uk. Do you agree with this approach?

- Yes
- No

We propose to hold a sealed bid auction for domain names where rights holders are competing, and for domain names not taken up by rights holders. Do you think there is a fairer way to allocate the first registration of these domain names?

- Yes
- No

Please give comments

Why propose to bid when domain name is not taken ?????????? This is not fair at all !!!!!!!

We propose to hold a Dutch auction for the general release (“landrush”) of domains not taken up by rights holders. Do you think there is a fairer way to allocate the first registration of these domain names?

- Yes
- No

Please give comments, particularly in relation to the starting price for any Dutch auction.

Why auction ???? Why not allow Registrars to sell at their price ? It is unfair to ask buyers who have no idea about auctions to go through this process. Once again, only pros will have access to these domains (Registrars and domainers as usual)

We plan to run this whole exercise on a cost recovery basis, and any surplus funds generated from auctions would be donated to Nominet Trust, a charitable organisation established by Nominet with the objects including the education, relief of financial hardship and protection of children in the area of the Internet and information technology. Do you agree with this approach?

- Yes
- No

Comments

If you gave more details about how much you will give, I might believe this.

Do you have any other comments or suggestions about the proposed release of these domain names?

Just simplify the process for the average user, not for pros only.

12. Please give us your contact details

Name

Jean Guillon

Company name/Organisation name

particular