

## Have your say!

Please give us your feedback on these proposals by answering the following questions:

What domains should we release?

This document contains respondents between 122 and 122 inclusive.

**Do you agree that two letter domain names should be released for registration in co.uk, org.uk, net.uk and me.uk as recommended by the PAB?**

- Yes  
 No

**Please give comments**

I see this as a money making opportunity for those with software and resources to do a mass purchase of available domains.

**Do you agree that one character domain names should be released for registration in co.uk, org.uk, net.uk and me.uk as recommended by the PAB?**

- Yes  
 No

**Please give comments**

I see this as a money making opportunity for those with software and resources to do a mass purchase of available domains.

**Do you agree that existing country codes under ISO 3166-1 such as fr, de, es, us etc be released for registration in co.uk, org.uk, net.uk and me.uk as recommended by the PAB?**

- Yes  
 No

**We outlined the technical reasons why com.co.uk, org.co.uk and net.co.uk should not be released. Do you agree with these exceptions?**

- Yes  
 No

**Do you agree that existing generic top level domains (e.g. biz, info) should be released for registration in co.uk, org.uk, net.uk and me.uk as recommended by the PAB?**

- Yes  
 No

**Do you agree that existing .uk second level domains (e.g. co, org, ac, me, ltd) should also be released for registration in co.uk, org.uk, net.uk and me.uk?**

- Yes  
 No

**Do you agree that “uk” should continue to be reserved from registration in co.uk, org.uk, net.uk and me.uk as recommended by the PAB?**

- Yes
- No

**What process should we use for releasing domains?**

**We propose to take the same approach for two letter, one character and the other previously unreleased domains (e.g. pro.co.uk). Do you agree with this proposal?**

- Yes
- No

**Please give comments**

I see this as a money making opportunity for those with software and resources to do a mass purchase of available domains.

It is obvious that any domains not registered by trademarks before general release will not go to bonafide registrants but to those with the software and knowhow to manipulate the system.

A lottery of unallocated domains based on length as NOMINET members would be fair to all.

**The PAB recommended a two stage release process: a first round for holders of registered trademarks, and a second round for names not taken by rights holders, open to holders of unregistered rights. Do you agree with this recommendation?**

- Yes
- No

**We propose that initially commercial organisations should have priority in.co.uk and charities and not for profit organisations should have priority in org.uk. Do you agree with this approach?**

- Yes
- No

**We propose to hold a sealed bid auction for domain names where rights holders are competing, and for domain names not taken up by rights holders. Do you think there is a fairer way to allocate the first registration of these domain names?**

- Yes
- No

**Please give comments**

This should not be about money.

**We propose to hold a Dutch auction for the general release (“landrush”) of domains not taken up by rights holders. Do you think there is a fairer way to allocate the first registration of these domain names?**

Yes

No

**We plan to run this whole exercise on a cost recovery basis, and any surplus funds generated from auctions would be donated to Nominet Trust, a charitable organisation established by Nominet with the objects including the education, relief of financial hardship and protection of children in the area of the Internet and information technology. Do you agree with this approach?**

Yes

No

**Comments**

Nominet Trust has enough funds.

**Do you have any other comments or suggestions about the proposed release of these domain names?**

The release favours domainers and not the general body of Nominet members.

**12. Please give us your contact details**

Name

Darryl Kirk

Company name/Organisation name

UKHOSTS