

## Have your say!

Please give us your feedback on these proposals by answering the following questions:

What domains should we release?

This document contains respondents between 20 and 20 inclusive.

**Do you agree that two letter domain names should be released for registration in co.uk, org.uk, net.uk and me.uk as recommended by the PAB?**

- Yes
- No

**Please give comments**

If we were starting again from scratch then I would allow two letter registrations but we are not. Organisations such as the AA have managed to deal with the situation as it - to release two letter domain names now risks causing problems. The only possible reason that I can see for doing it is to raise large amounts of money.

**Do you agree that one character domain names should be released for registration in co.uk, org.uk, net.uk and me.uk as recommended by the PAB?**

- Yes
- No

**Please give comments**

See comments on two letter registrations.

**Do you agree that existing country codes under ISO 3166-1 such as fr, de, es, us etc be released for registration in co.uk, org.uk, net.uk and me.uk as recommended by the PAB?**

- Yes
- No

**Please give comments**

See comments on two letter registrations.

**We outlined the technical reasons why com.co.uk, org.co.uk and net.co.uk should not be released. Do you agree with these exceptions?**

- Yes
- No

**Do you agree that existing generic top level domains (e.g. biz, info) should be released for registration in co.uk, org.uk, net.uk and me.uk as recommended by the PAB?**

- Yes
- No

**Please give comments**

How can these domain names be allocated fairly? Again the only reason that I can see why these should be released is to make a large amount of money.

Do you agree that existing .uk second level domains (e.g. co, org, ac, me, ltd) should also be released for registration in co.uk, org.uk, net.uk and me.uk?

- Yes
- No

Please give comments

See previous comments.

Do you agree that "uk" should continue to be reserved from registration in co.uk, org.uk, net.uk and me.uk as recommended by the PAB?

- Yes
- No

We propose to take the same approach for two letter, one character and the other previously unreleased domains (e.g. pro.co.uk). Do you agree with this proposal?

- Yes
- No

Please give comments

No because I do not agree with their release.

The PAB recommended a two stage release process: a first round for holders of registered trademarks, and a second round for names not taken by rights holders, open to holders of unregistered rights. Do you agree with this recommendation?

- Yes
- No

Please give comments

Does the first round apply only to UK registered trademarks?

The PAB recommended a cut off date for qualifying rights for IP rights holders. They suggested 1996 and 2002 as possible dates. What do you think would be a suitable cut off date?

None.

Please give comments

If someone owns a registered trademark then they own that trademark. The process of registering the trademark aims to ensure that trademarks do not "overlap" in the same industry sector. I fail to see the relevance of how long they have owned that trademark. I cannot imagine that anyone has gone to the trouble to register a trademark in the hope that Nominet might release one or two letter domain names.

**We propose that initially commercial organisations should have priority in.co.uk and charities and not for profit organisations should have priority in org.uk. Do you agree with this approach?**

Yes

No

**We propose to hold a sealed bid auction for domain names where rights holders are competing, and for domain names not taken up by rights holders. Do you think there is a fairer way to allocate the first registration of these domain names?**

Yes

No

**Please give comments, particularly in relation to the starting price for any Dutch auction.**

With a dutch auction the starting price is the maximum price you can obtain. With a conventional auction there is no limit on the price you can obtain.

I presume that the plan is to auction domain names consecutively and not concurrently so if the starting bid is set too low it could be increased for subsequent auctions.

**12. Please give us your contact details**

**Name**

Clive Goodhead

**Company name/Organisation name**

Cornwall Internet Limited