

## Have your say!

Please give us your feedback on these proposals by answering the following questions:

What domains should we release?

This document contains respondents between 41 and 41 inclusive.

**Do you agree that two letter domain names should be released for registration in co.uk, org.uk, net.uk and me.uk as recommended by the PAB?**

- Yes
- No

**Please give comments**

It opens up a lot of new domain names, and it makes the internet easier to use for less computer competent people.

**Do you agree that one character domain names should be released for registration in co.uk, org.uk, net.uk and me.uk as recommended by the PAB?**

- Yes
- No

**Please give comments**

It opens up a lot of new domain names, and it makes the internet easier to use for less computer competent people.

**Do you agree that existing country codes under ISO 3166-1 such as fr, de, es, us etc be released for registration in co.uk, org.uk, net.uk and me.uk as recommended by the PAB?**

- Yes
- No

**Please give comments**

It opens up a lot of new domain names, and it makes the internet easier to use for less computer competent people.

**We outlined the technical reasons why com.co.uk, org.co.uk and net.co.uk should not be released. Do you agree with these exceptions?**

- Yes
- No

**Please give comments**

No comment really

**Do you agree that existing generic top level domains (e.g. biz, info) should be released for registration in co.uk, org.uk, net.uk and me.uk as recommended by the PAB?**

- Yes
- No

Do you agree that existing .uk second level domains (e.g. co, org, ac, me, ltd) should also be released for registration in co.uk, org.uk, net.uk and me.uk?

- Yes  
 No

Do you agree that "uk" should continue to be reserved from registration in co.uk, org.uk, net.uk and me.uk as recommended by the PAB?

- Yes  
 No

### Comments

.uk is a very good domain and it should be open to anyone who wants it. .co.uk is for companies, .org non-profits, but domain.uk would be good for non-commercial entities. Look at .us - a very short domain name which is available to anyone.

We propose to take the same approach for two letter, one character and the other previously unreleased domains (e.g. pro.co.uk). Do you agree with this proposal?

- Yes  
 No

### Please give comments

The more domains the better

The PAB recommended a two stage release process: a first round for holders of registered trademarks, and a second round for names not taken by rights holders, open to holders of unregistered rights. Do you agree with this recommendation?

- Yes  
 No

### Please give comments

Avoids domain squatting

The PAB recommended a cut off date for qualifying rights for IP rights holders. They suggested 1996 and 2002 as possible dates. What do you think would be a suitable cut off date?

1900 - 2010

### Please give comments

Any active trademark should be allowed - no 'cut off'.

We propose that initially commercial organisations should have priority in.co.uk and charities and not for profit organisations should have priority in org.uk. Do you agree with this approach?

- Yes
- No

We propose to hold a sealed bid auction for domain names where rights holders are competing, and for domain names not taken up by rights holders. Do you think there is a fairer way to allocate the first registration of these domain names?

- Yes
- No

**Please give comments**

Fixed price auction. In doing this, domain names are open to all to use. Poorer non-commercial entities can enter the auction as well as multi billion pound companies.

We propose to hold a Dutch auction for the general release (“landrush”) of domains not taken up by rights holders. Do you think there is a fairer way to allocate the first registration of these domain names?

- Yes
- No

**Please give comments, particularly in relation to the starting price for any Dutch auction.**

As above - its unfair as its highest bidder wins. Fairest way is to set a fixed price for the domains and then raffle them. You could make money from this as you could charge a raffle entry fee per domain name [e.g. £100] for each entrant.

We plan to run this whole exercise on a cost recovery basis, and any surplus funds generated from auctions would be donated to Nominet Trust, a charitable organisation established by Nominet with the objects including the education, relief of financial hardship and protection of children in the area of the Internet and information technology. Do you agree with this approach?

- Yes
- No

**Comments**

That sounds fine, although don't focus too much on the money making aspect of it / fund raising side.

**Do you have any other comments or suggestions about the proposed release of these domain names?**

Definitely a raffle with an entry fee rather than an auction.

## 12. Please give us your contact details

Name

Mark Newby

Company name/Organisation name

Camiloo Limited