

This document contains respondents between 22 and 22 inclusive.

**1. Do you support the idea of placing relevant stakeholders at the heart of the policy advisory process?**

Yes

No

**2. Do you support the PAB's proposal for the creation of issues groups to develop policy recommendations in response to stakeholder proposals?**

Yes

No

**3. Do you agree with the PAB's outline for issues groups (open membership, stakeholder led, time-limited, with a requirement to prepare an impact assessment, and working by consensus)?**

Yes

No

**Do you have any comments on the way that issues groups might work?**

The Police Service should be involve din this initiative.

**5. Do you agree that "permanent" groups might be needed in certain cases?**

Yes

No

**7. Do you agree that a standing committee is necessary to provide some form of independent assessment of, and support for, the issues groups?**

Yes

No

**8. Do you agree that the tasks identified by the PAB for the standing committee are appropriate?**

Yes

No

**9. Do you agree with the range of stakeholders identified by the PAB for the membership of the standing committee?**

Yes

No

**How should members of the standing committee be identified?**

**In particular, how should Nominet member participation of the standing committee be chosen, bearing in mind the importance of ensuring balance representation?**

Likely impact on the proces and the impact of error on each function

**10. Do you support the proposal for an enhanced secretariat taking an active role in the standing committee of stakeholders and for engaging with external stakeholders?**

Yes

No

**12. Please give us your contact details**

**Name**

stuart hyde

**Company name/Organisation name**

cumbria police