

This document contains respondents between 16 and 16 inclusive.

**1. Do you support the idea of placing relevant stakeholders at the heart of the policy advisory process?**

- Yes
- No

**2. Do you support the PAB's proposal for the creation of issues groups to develop policy recommendations in response to stakeholder proposals?**

- Yes
- No

**3. Do you agree with the PAB's outline for issues groups (open membership, stakeholder led, time-limited, with a requirement to prepare an impact assessment, and working by consensus)?**

- Yes
- No

**5. Do you agree that "permanent" groups might be needed in certain cases?**

- Yes
- No

**What issues might benefit from this approach?**

Industry standards is one. Note also the example of EURid's Registrar Council of Conduct (of which I am a member) which approves registrars who subscribe to the registrar code of conduct and is available to take action against those, who, having subscribed to the code then do not adhere to it.

**6. Do you agree that "closed groups" (i.e. groups with invited membership) should be set up when this is necessary?**

- Yes
- No

**What issues might benefit from this approach?**

Sensitive commercial, financial or law enforcement issues.

**7. Do you agree that a standing committee is necessary to provide some form of independent assessment of, and support for, the issues groups?**

- Yes
- No

**8. Do you agree that the tasks identified by the PAB for the standing committee are appropriate?**

- Yes
- No

**9. Do you agree with the range of stakeholders identified by the PAB for the membership of the standing committee?**

- Yes
- No

**How should members of the standing committee be identified?**

**In particular, how should Nominet member participation of the standing committee be chosen, bearing in mind the importance of ensuring balance representation?**

Members should preferably be elected to represent a 'constituency' (e.g. ISPs or registrars) with which they have a substantive, identifiable, and demonstrable link. I appreciate the question then arises, who should be allowed to vote in such a constituency? All nominet members or only those who are themselves members of the constituency, in which case how and by whom can or should they be identified?

**10. Do you support the proposal for an enhanced secretariat taking an active role in the standing committee of stakeholders and for engaging with external stakeholders?**

- Yes
- No

**12. Please give us your contact details**

Name

Eric Ramage

Company name/Organisation name

Alexander Ramage Associates