

This document contains respondents between 32 and 32 inclusive.

1. Do you support the idea of placing relevant stakeholders at the heart of the policy advisory process?

Yes

No

2. Do you support the PAB's proposal for the creation of issues groups to develop policy recommendations in response to stakeholder proposals?

Yes

No

3. Do you agree with the PAB's outline for issues groups (open membership, stakeholder led, time-limited, with a requirement to prepare an impact assessment, and working by consensus)?

Yes

No

Do you have any comments on the way that issues groups might work?

Additionally, further improvement could be made if the work, impact assessment and consensus of any issue group is made available for comments to a wider range of .UK stakeholders and prior to the Board's consideration of issue group's findings or recommendation.

4. What mechanism should there be for selecting members of issues groups?

In particular, how might we ensure effective participation from Nominet members to bring necessary expertise into the discussions?

Early call for participation in and formation of any newly formed issue groups should be actively promoted within .UK community. An informal Birds of Feather (BOFs) group sessions time could be allotted prior or around each of the Nominet AGMs to gauge interest from experts among Nominet members.

5. Do you agree that "permanent" groups might be needed in certain cases?

Yes

No

What issues might benefit from this approach?

e.g. Issues that deal with operational complexities of domain name system.

6. Do you agree that "closed groups" (i.e. groups with invited membership) should be set up when this is necessary?

Yes

No

What issues might benefit from this approach?

For example, any issue group that looks into sensitive data like members or registry sensitive operational data.

7. Do you agree that a standing committee is necessary to provide some form of independent assessment of, and support for, the issues groups?

- Yes
 No

8. Do you agree that the tasks identified by the PAB for the standing committee are appropriate?

- Yes
 No

9. Do you agree with the range of stakeholders identified by the PAB for the membership of the standing committee?

- Yes
 No

How should members of the standing committee be identified?

In particular, how should Nominet member participation of the standing committee be chosen, bearing in mind the importance of ensuring balance representation?

E.g. It would be important to avoid majority of representation on the standing committee by any single stakeholder or member.

10. Do you support the proposal for an enhanced secretariat taking an active role in the standing committee of stakeholders and for engaging with external stakeholders?

- Yes
 No

11. Do you have any further comments on the proposed new policy advisory process?

I support the overall goals of the newly proposed policy development regime and also support the specific mechanisms proposed. In particular, I believe that the proposed system will enable more dynamic and constructive engagement with a broader range .uk policy stakeholders and so would represent a significant improvement over the existing development policy process.

12. Please give us your contact details

Name

Desiree Miloshevic

Company name/Organisation name

Board Trustee (2004-2010), Internet Society (ISOC)