

This document contains respondents between 18 and 18 inclusive.

1. Do you support the idea of placing relevant stakeholders at the heart of the policy advisory process?

Yes

No

2. Do you support the PAB's proposal for the creation of issues groups to develop policy recommendations in response to stakeholder proposals?

Yes

No

3. Do you agree with the PAB's outline for issues groups (open membership, stakeholder led, time-limited, with a requirement to prepare an impact assessment, and working by consensus)?

Yes

No

4. What mechanism should there be for selecting members of issues groups?

In particular, how might we ensure effective participation from Nominet members to bring necessary expertise into the discussions?

not sure but feel it essential to have a good cross section of society - especially consumers

5. Do you agree that "permanent" groups might be needed in certain cases?

Yes

No

What issues might benefit from this approach?

crime and security

6. Do you agree that "closed groups" (i.e. groups with invited membership) should be set up when this is necessary?

Yes

No

7. Do you agree that a standing committee is necessary to provide some form of independent assessment of, and support for, the issues groups?

Yes

No

9. Do you agree with the range of stakeholders identified by the PAB for the membership of the standing committee?

Yes

No

10. Do you support the proposal for an enhanced secretariat taking an active role in the standing committee of stakeholders and for engaging with external stakeholders?

Yes

No

11. Do you have any further comments on the proposed new policy advisory process?

needs to be change and outcomes driven - not just a talking shop. Targets must be set and results measured.

12. Please give us your contact details

Name

david clarke

Company name/Organisation name

city of london police