

Using the Dispute Resolution Service for .uk domain names online survey

Submit date : **Feb 10, 2007**

Question 1: Please give us feedback on our proposals that the DRS should include:

- (a) protection for words which have a distinctive character as a result of the use made of them.
- (b) dictionary words which are protected by registered trade marks or good will.

nominet should not have power of the drs there is a conflict of intrest and most of the so called experts are biased. so the questions your asking are biased

Question 2: Please give us your feedback on our proposal that the DRS should include the following as examples of things that are not necessarily evidence of abuse:

- a general offer to resell a domain name
- sale of traffic (i.e. visitors to the domain name)
- registering many domains

abusive is having 90% of your experts members of the law society. total conflict and please check european trade rules where everyone has the right to free trade im sure the department of trade and industry would be intrested in this

Question 3: Please give us feedback on our proposal that the DRS should include a statement that, where the evidence is finely balanced, the weaker the rights that the complainant has, the less likely it is that abusive registration or use will be inferred.

its abusive that nominet take money for a name and then try to claim is back as abusive reg. guilty by association

Question 4: Please tell us which payment option you would prefer and why: no change; a small upfront fee; or loser pays?

scrap the whole drs and leta nutral party deside

Question 5: How would you enforce any system of refund (outlined in option 3)? Which section of the community would you imagine would most benefit from a refund system?

why dont you just block all the tm related names.its a nice we gravy train sell a name then take the name back. to me its guilty by association

Question 6: Do you have any comments about the proposals to change aspects of the procedure, payment, drafting, appeals, expert decisions, abusive registrations or miscellaneous issues?

yes question has nominet ever dismissed a expert or caught an expert who gave judgement on a drs and it was found out later that the person had conflict of intrest

Question 7: Do you have any other changes you would like to see within the DRS, or topics within the DRS that you wish to comment on? In particular, if you have any views about any of the following topics which have been the subject of discussion, please let us know:

- Length of submissions and word limits.

- The impact of Internationalised Domain Names, if introduced.
- Whether experts can find a registration abusive for reasons not spelled out in the complaint.
- Whether there have been any practical problems with the treatment of 'Without Prejudice' material.
- Whether experts should be able to represent parties in other disputes, and if not how to keep the quality of experts high.
- Whether the detail of the DRS can be taken out of the contract and updated more regularly.

yes question 1. who is responsible if you want to sue an expert in court when they give judgement against you is it nominet or the expert. 2.what would happen if a expert did not declare in a drs dispute that they worked or had links to a firm that was involved in persusing a drs and it was later found that it was. 3.if you sell someone a service and later take the goods back. is this not fraud and could you not argue that you knowingly sold goods that where faulty. lets face all those £5.00 per reg for TM related names is a nice little earner for nominet and all those £750 fees are nice little earner for the so called experts.if they are tag members they should be doing it for free. im sure if nominet asked all its tag members if they would give there time free. who judges the experts on there code of conduct

Please give us your contact details

Name

Tommy Butler

Company Name