

## STATUS REPORT on PAB & CoM ISSUES & ACTIONS

Aug03/1

*The PAB recommended that when a successful DRS challenge is made against a registration, the expert should have the option that the domain name should be put in a state where it is registered neither to the complainant nor to the original registrant, and its use be blocked until expiry of the original registration, as if the domain name had been suspended, or for such longer period as the expert shall specify.*

25 September 2003 Board meeting:

The board was concerned that the ... recommendation would effectively be creating a new type of registration. Issues arise about who should pay for such a 'blocked' registration and how abuse might be avoided. ... The board discussed some alternatives and concluded that the issue should be included in the planned review of the DRS as a whole.

**Status: .me.uk Rules implemented in November 2004. Action completed.**

Oct03/1

### ***Automaton Template Changes***

*The PAB resolved to accept the interface changes described in Section 3.1 of the paper (headed 'Tidying contact details').*

Executive team requested to implement.

**Status: Not yet implemented, as this is an interim step needed before we implement EPP that has not yet been put into production. This should now be considered in the light of the Data Restructuring paper presented to the December PAB meeting.**

Apr04/4

*The PAB resolved to recommend to the Council of Management that it investigate anti-abuse mechanisms (including but not limited to implementation of only a subset of DNSSEC) to minimise the impact of enumeration of zonefiles using DNSSEC should the current proposals for the DNSSEC protocol remain unchanged.*

**Status: Proposals have been made to the IETF Working group and others. Discussions continue.**

Apr04/6

*The PAB, noting that it did not believe there are currently general problems in the enforcement of the tag-holder agreement, resolved to ask the Council of Management whether or not making the tag-holder agreement more specific would aid its enforcement.*

**Status: The Board agreed that making the tag-holder agreement more specific would aid its enforcement. This item is built into the review of the tag-holder agreement, which is ongoing as part of the strategic discussions on the thick/thin registry model.**

Apr04/7

*The PAB **resolved** to recommend that the Council of Management clarify the procedure for making complaints about a tag-holder's alleged non-compliance with the tag-holder agreement, and also to ensure that tag-holders' obligations under the agreement are successfully communicated to tag-holders.*

This resolution covers two items:

1. The procedure for making complaints about a tag-holder's alleged non-compliance with the tag-holder agreement.

**Status: Further clarification of the complaints procedure is pending.**

2. Ensure that tag-holders' obligations under the agreement are successfully communicated to tag-holders.

**Status: Ongoing through specific projects (e.g. Ts&Cs project, logo project) and communications programme.**

Apr04/8

*and **resolved** to request that the Council of Management expedite the redrafting of the me.uk rules and the associated consultation process.*

**Status: Action completed.**

Aug04/5

*The PAB further **resolved** to recommend to Council of Management that it should take further legal advice in terms of the detailed framing of the policy [that where data about a registration, in excess of that available by inspection of WHOIS and/or PRSS, is passed by Nominet to a third party, Nominet should...].*

**Status: Action completed.**

Oct04/5a

*The PAB **resolved** to recommend to the Council of Management that they put the issues raised in the 2004 Governance Review out to consultation.*

**Status: Action pending.**

Oct04/5b

*The PAB resolved that its rules should be amended such that the PAB chair's term should be limited to three years, but that there should remain no limit on the term of elected members.*

**Status: Action completed.**

Dec04/4

*The PAB resolved to request the executive to bring a paper to the PAB detailing the various options available for Nominet's handling of allegations of (i) registrations which themselves may constitute "child pornography" or an advertisement thereof and (ii) registrations pointing at content that may constitute "child pornography", with a view that the PAB would come to an initial conclusion in order to subsequently prepare for a wider consultation.*

**Status: Action pending.**

Dec04/6

*The PAB resolved to accept the SLD panel subcommittee's report that the availability of technically qualified applicants was inadequate, and that a recommendation should only be made when sufficient candidates with skills in all disciplines were available; therefore the PAB resolved to request to the executive that it instruct the recruitment agency to provide a wider selection of candidates with appropriate technical skills, in order that the subcommittee may complete its recommendations.*

**Status: In progress.**

Dec04/8

*The PAB resolved to recommend to the executive that the PAB rules should be amended such that: (i) the existing 2 non-executive members of the CoM should become non-voting PAB members but should continue to contribute to the quorum; (ii) all PAB members and other attendees should continue to participate fully in the discussion.*

**Status: Action completed.**

Dec04/9

*The PAB resolved to recommend the introduction of new data structures in line with the proposal presented by the executive and the resultant change in tag-holder interfaces; this is to be introduced subject to an open consultation to finalise the details, through an open mailing list, chaired and managed by the Nominet executive.*

**Status: In progress.**

Dec04/10

*The PAB resolved to recommend the introduction of a WHOIS for tags as proposed by the executive; this is to be introduced once the online facility that allows tag-holders to set and amend their details is in place.*

**Status: Pending**