

## Proposed DRS default transfer process

We are proposing that in undefended DRS cases, the complainant should have the right to a transfer of the domain name registration on payment of a fee of £200 (plus VAT).  
Please read our consultation paper for full details of this proposed default transfer process and then answer the questions below.

This document contains respondents between 87 and 87 inclusive.

**1. What do you think about our proposal for a default transfer process?**

I agree with the proposal

I don't mind one way or the other

I disagree with the proposal

**2. Please give your reasons for why you feel this way about the proposal**

Under the proposed scheme individuals or companies could loose domains they have a right to due to a delay in reading their post or email, and failure to notice their domain is suspended, which could occur for any number of legitimate reasons.

While I appreciate the consultation, it lacks detail, for example it does not answer questions such as: How long would the grace period be? and How long would the opportunity to reverse a default transfer remain open? If the opportunity for reversal remained available indefinitely would I believe might reduce the value of a domain acquired via this new "default transfer" route.

I believe the proposed default transfer process might increase the number of dubious claims on domain names; the process has been described as a £10 "lottery ticket" which might attract those looking to hit the jackpot, that would not be good for the .uk registry.

I can see that the costs of obtaining an expert decision might become an issue particularly for those mounting multiple challenges. I would support a "bulk" DRS scheme, for example one where users could pay for expert's time on a daily rate, and an expert could deal with sets of disputed registrations together to save time and money.

I feel this proposal has the potential to destabilise and damage the credibility of the .uk name space and through that negatively affect the international competitiveness of the UK, and the growth of the UK's increasingly important online economy. I think this proposal will be bad for the UK and it is on that basis which I oppose it.

**3. Please give us your contact details**

**Name**

Richard Taylor

**Company Name**

Sci7 Ltd

**Email address**

.....