

## Proposed DRS default transfer process

We are proposing that in undefended DRS cases, the complainant should have the right to a transfer of the domain name registration on payment of a fee of £200 (plus VAT).  
Please read our consultation paper for full details of this proposed default transfer process and then answer the questions below.

This document contains respondents between 83 and 83 inclusive.

<b>1. What do you think about our proposal for a default transfer process?</b>
<input type="radio"/> I agree with the proposal
<input type="radio"/> I don't mind one way or the other
<input checked="" type="radio"/> I disagree with the proposal

<b>2. Please give your reasons for why you feel this way about the proposal</b>
<p>This proposal, if implemented, would bring about the chance for widespread abuse and Reverse Domain Hijacking. In essence, anyone with the necessary fee could potentially obtain a domain from the registrant, whether they are entitled to it or not, on the basis of the claim being undefended. Since ancient times, the principle "Nemo tenetur se detegere" - literally the "Right to silence" has been expressed by people facing all kinds of legal challenges or situations. This proposal effectively removes this basic right. Remember that silence does not equal guilt, yet this is where the DRS system is headed. The DRS system is very inaccessible to regular domain registrants, and only seasoned Internet experts (or lawyers) are able to form a convincing defence to claims submitted via its' mechanism. Joe Public would probably be intimidated into silence or fear by a DRS package landing in his Inbox or on his doormat. The whole DRS system needs an overhaul, and I can only concede that this proposal, if implemented would be disastrous, and could open both abusers and Nominet to lengthy legal disputes.</p>

<b>3. Please give us your contact details</b>
<b>Name</b> Matt Warne
<b>Company Name</b> Synergy UK Corporation
<b>Email address</b>