

Proposed DRS default transfer process

We are proposing that in undefended DRS cases, the complainant should have the right to a transfer of the domain name registration on payment of a fee of £200 (plus VAT).
Please read our consultation paper for full details of this proposed default transfer process and then answer the questions below.

This document contains respondents between 39 and 39 inclusive.

1. What do you think about our proposal for a default transfer process?

- I agree with the proposal
- I don't mind one way or the other
- I disagree with the proposal

2. Please give your reasons for why you feel this way about the proposal

I have recently been involved in a Dispute case and the Respondent simply failed to enter into arbitration, leaving me with no option but to consider the choice of appointing an Expert to review the case.

On further investigation it would appear that this is the modus operandi of the respondent. I have found the organization having been involved in numerous dispute cases and in every case they have failed to respond to the original query.

Where the respondent fails even to have the common courtesy to enter into conversation and explain their reasoning behind their registration of a particular domain name it is clear that they have little interest in a 'fair' use of that domain, but intend only to withhold it (for inconvenience or financial gain) from a claimant who may have either a desire to use the domain actively, or who may have a superior claim to it.

It is, in my opinion, unreasonable to expect the claimant to bear the cost of employing an expert to adjudicate on a case where the respondent has failed even to enter into communication.

3. Please give us your contact details

Name

Alan D Rudland

Company Name

Fidelity Masonic Supplies

Email address