

## **Additional Statement from Robert Fox**

Am I being naïve in thinking most of the brouhaha of this election is all about money?

The candidates seem to be divided into two camps. The altruists wanting to promote the greater good of Nominet by spending their money, and the financially aware who cannot abide to see the funds that they have paid to create being used to cushion a very comfortable corporate lifestyle.

I stand by my original statements:

From the figures provided by the 2007 annual accounts registration fees do not need to be higher than £3.50

The size of the surplus funds is ample evidence that members have been overcharged in the past and should be entitled to a refund of their overpayment. Whether such overcharging is covered by the QC's opinion circulated by Nominet depends on the questions originally asked.

All members of the board of directors should seek re-election after serving their term of office. Directors may recommend candidates but members should elect them.

Additionally:

I am pleased to see that Nominet are allowing members to change votes already cast. This is the least they can do since they have been asking members to vote for their resolutions since before 15<sup>th</sup> April. It would be far better if the whole package of resolutions including the appointment of directors were placed before the membership prior to their being asked to vote.

It is deplorable that having asked for statements from eligible candidates that can be circulated amongst the membership after the 15<sup>th</sup> of April for an election to be held on 30<sup>th</sup> April that they should subsequently call for additional information intimating that some candidates may, for whatever reason, be unsuitable to hold office.

For the record I am a company director, I have been a company director since early 1990. I have not ever been disqualified from holding this office either in the UK, Ireland or anywhere else. Neither have I served a term of imprisonment or been declared bankrupt etc etc. That should be sufficient for any board election.

As an active registrar my company has been involved in legal actions involving domain names but not to the detriment of my business reputation. I am aware that, should I be elected, there could be a conflict of interests. If that were to occur I would advise my co-directors of my interests and abstain from voting should I or they consider it necessary.